Interview Summary

Application No. **09/114,285**

App.:

Tsuchiya

Examiner

Geetha P. Bansal

Group Art Unit 1642



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Geetha P. Bansal	(3)
(2) Harold Wegner (Attorney)	(4)
Date of Interview	•
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
Receipt of After final response which reached Examiner on 7/25/01. Claims will be reviewed more thoroughly for	
possible allowance.	
	<u> </u>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no davailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP	AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST EP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE d of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	